

Why was YAM chosen?

Comparing the 3 evidence-based school programs:

| Program | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|---|---|
| Youth Aware of Mental Health (YAM) | Effective in reducing suicide attempts compared to control group Contained delivery (5 sessions across 3 weeks) Broader coverage of mental health awareness | Requires trainers external to school Some initial cost Requires scale up of initial trainers to achieve targets |
| Signs of Suicide | Minimal cost Easy to deliver (single lesson by teacher) Effective in reducing suicide attempts compared to control group Screens for depression | Less comprehensive than YAM and Sources of strength, just focusing on suicide and depression Less controlled delivery (no training for teachers to deliver/implement) Potential fidelity issues |
| Sources of Strength | In-depth program Mental health awareness benefits Builds protective factors Good evidence for reduction of stigma, increase help seeking | Program runs over extended period (min 3 months) Requires commitment of teachers (Adult advisers) and students (Peers) More work to implement Hasn't yet been tested to show reduction in suicidal behaviour |

Decision making factors:

- School programming capacity (lead in time required, shorter vs more intensive delivery)
- School preferences for programs that address broader mental health awareness benefits
- School preferences for choice vs prescription
- School preferences for investment/resource requirements
- Costs to Department of Education for implementation (single vs multiple)
- Feasibility for local site coordinators to manage implementation support for one vs multiple
- Cost vs take-up (e.g. prep costs for YAM, Sources of Strength comparatively high if schools then mostly select Signs of Suicide)



